

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 7208 RAL9-99-0073 02/25/2000 Cedell Adam Alexander JR. 09/513,518 08/25/2003 IBM CORPORATION **EXAMINER** PO BOX 12195 PHILPOTT, JUSTIN M DEPT 9CCA, BLDG 002 RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2665

DATE MAILED: 08/25/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	F	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•		09/513,518	ALEXANDER ET AL.
` Office Action Su	mmary E	Examiner	Art Unit
	J	lustin M Philpott	2665
The MAILING DATE of t Period for Reply	his communication appea	rs on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS - Extensions of time may be available und after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing - If the period for reply specified above is - If NO period for reply is specified above, - Failure to reply within the set or extende - Any reply received by the Office later tha earned patent term adjustment. See 37  Status	COMMUNICATION.  der the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a date of this communication. less than thirty (30) days, a reply wil , the maximum statutory period will a d period for reply will, by statute, ca an three months after the mailing dat	a). In no event, however, may a chin the statutory minimum of thir apply and will expire SIX (6) MON use the application to become Al	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)⊠ Responsive to commur	nication(s) filed on <u>16 Jur</u>	<u>ne 2003</u> .	
2a) This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.	·
	s in condition for allowand with the practice under Ex		tters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,6-13,15-</u>	17, 19, 20, 22, 24, 25, 27, 29,	30 and 32-34 is/are pe	nding in the application.
	s) is/are withdrawn		5
5) Claim(s) is/are al	· ——		
	17, 19, 20, 22, 24, 25, 27, 29,	30 and 32-34 is/are reje	ected.
7) Claim(s) is/are of	ojected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subj	ect to restriction and/or e	lection requirement.	·
9)☐ The specification is object	ted to by the Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on _	is/are: a) accepte	d or b) objected to by t	the Examiner.
Applicant may not reques	st that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing co	orrection filed on is	s: a)☐ approved b)☐ d	disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected dra	awings are required in reply	to this Office action.	•
12) The oath or declaration is	s objected to by the Exam	niner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 a	and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made	le of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	] None of:		
1. Certified copies of	f the priority documents h	ave been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
	om the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage received.
14) Acknowledgment is made	of a claim for domestic p	oriority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of th 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made		• •	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-89 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s)	wing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action	n Summary	Part of Paper No. 5

Application/Control Number: 09/513,518

Art Unit: 2665

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

1. In the Amendment filed June 16, 2003, Applicant has amended claims 1, 6, 9, 13, 17, 22 and 27 to include limitations recited in previously objected claims, and has canceled claims 2, 5, 14, 18, 21, 23, 26, 28 and 31. Applicant has also determined that the reference designated by "ANA" in the IDS filed February 25, 2000 does not qualify as prior art and thus should not be considered. In view of the Amendment, Applicant has overcome the previous rejections of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) and 103(a) and reference "ANA" will not be considered.

### Allowable Subject Matter

2. The indicated allowability of claims 5-8, 13-16, 21, 26, and 31-34 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Hartmann et al. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Specifically, claim 13 recites the limitation "the second protocol means" (last two lines). There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

· Application/Control Number: 09/513,518

Art Unit: 2665

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 6. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6-13, 15-17, 19, 20, 22, 24, 25, 27, 29, 30 and 32-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,445,715 to Annaamalai et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,516,355 to Hartmann et al.

Regarding claims 1, 13, 17, 22 and 27, Annaamalai teaches a network switch (e.g., 300, see FIGS. 2 and 3) comprising a CPU (e.g., processor, see col. 5, lines 49-64), a memory system having circuitry operable to attach to the CPU (e.g., see col. 5, lines 51-54), a switch fabric system (e.g., parsing engine 303 in conjunction with switching bus 310) having circuitry operable to attach to the CPU, a port controller (e.g., port cards 12) having circuitry operable to attach to the switch fabric system, a software application operable to execute on the CPU (e.g., see col. 5, lines 49-64 regarding software programs associated with the protocol), a Forwarding Database Distribution Library system (e.g., forwarding database 332) operable to execute on the CPU, and a switch device driver (e.g., forwarding engine 330) operable to execute on the CPU; wherein the software application is operable to communicate with the FDDL system (e.g., forwarding database 332, see col. 5, line 38 – col. 6, line 28), the FDDL system (e.g., forwarding database 332) is operable to communicate with the switch device driver (e.g., forwarding engine 330, see col. 6, lines 16-28), and the switch device driver (e.g., forwarding engine 330) is operable to communicate with the switch fabric (e.g., parsing engine 303 in conjunction with switching bus 310). Annaamalai further teaches a plurality of software applications (e.g., see

. Application/Control Number: 09/513,518

Art Unit: 2665

"software programs" in col. 5, line 53; and "software processes" in col. 5, line 60) are utilized by the FDDL system, wherein the FDDL system (e.g., forwarding database 332) is preferably organized as a table structure used for learning and forwarding operations (e.g., see col. 6, lines 19-21).

However, Annaamalai may not specifically disclose the FDDL system comprises a base FDDL system and plural software application towers.

Hartmann teaches improvements for network switching equipment and, specifically, teaches an FDDL system (e.g., 100 in FIG. 4) comprising a base FDDL system (e.g., logical management device 120), a software application tower FDDL system (e.g., object server coupled to 124), and a second software application tower FDDL system (e.g., media API coupled to 112), wherein the base FDDL system communicates with a switch device driver (e.g., native switch call-cont transaction manager 116), a software application (e.g., OA&M interface translation at 124) communicates with the software application tower FDDL system, a second software application (e.g., native switch translation at 112) communicates with the second software application tower FDDL system, and the base FDDL system (e.g., 120) communicates with the software application tower FDDL system (e.g., at 124) and the second software application tower FDDL system (e.g., at 112). The teachings of Hartmann provide a method by which a single API can be used to control a number of switches having different message protocols (e.g., see col. 3, lines 36-39), thus providing improved network adaptability. Accordingly, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to apply the teachings of Hartmann to the network switch of Annaamalai in order to control a number of switches having different message protocols thus providing improved network adaptability.

. Application/Control Number: 09/513,518

Art Unit: 2665

Regarding claims 6, 8, 9, 10, 16, 32 and 34, Annaamalai in view of Hartmann teaches the switch discussed above regarding claims 1, 13, 17, 22 and 27, and further, Hartmann teaches an independent software application (e.g., system software, see col. 1, line 67) and independent software application shim (e.g., native switch translation 110, 112, 114, 124 in FIG. 4) are operable to execute on a CPU. As discussed above, the teachings of Hartmann provide a method by which a single API can be used to control a number of switches having different message protocols (e.g., see col. 3, lines 36-39), thus providing improved network adaptability.

Accordingly, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to apply the teachings of Hartmann to the network switch of Annaamalai in order to control a number of switches having different message protocols thus providing improved network adaptability.

Regarding claims 3, 7, 11, 15, 19, 24, 29 and 33, Annaamalai teaches utilizing application program interfaces (API) for communications with the FDDL system (e.g., see col. 7, lines 13-67 regarding DTP protocol wherein messages are exchanged between applications and the switching fabric).

Regarding claims 4, 12, 20, 25 and 30, Annaamalai teaches utilizing application program interfaces (API) for communications with the FDDL system (e.g., see col. 7, lines 13-67 regarding DTP protocol wherein messages are exchanged between applications and the switching fabric). Annaamalai further teaches a plurality of software applications are utilized by the FDDL system (e.g., see col. 5, lines 53-60).

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/513,518

Art Unit: 2665

#### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Justin M Philpott whose telephone number is 703.305.7357. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy D Vu can be reached on 703.308.6602. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703.872.9314 for regular communications and 703.872.9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703.305.4750.

Justin M Philpott

Amp

August 20, 2003

HUY D. VU SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600